

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,590		08/25/2000	MITSUHIRO HAMASHIMA	A-377	5506
802	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER	
PATEN	TTM.US	3	NGUYEN, MADELEINE ANH VINH		
P. O. BOX 82788 PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
101112	,	,, ,,,,		2625	
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/648,590	HAMASHIMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Madeleine AV Nguyen	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	,	i — -					
Period for Reply		•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ine 2006.						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).					
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	* **						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	_						
D Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary						
 I) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) I) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 14, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimazaki (US Patent No. 6,204,873) in view of Ikeshoji et al (US Patent No. 6,088,479).

Concerning claim 1, Shimazaki discloses an image processing system (Fig. 1) comprising an image processing unit (10) for processing input image (from color scanner 50); and an output unit (12) for outputting an image processing in the image processing unit; wherein the image processing unit (Fig. 2) has a function of cutting out a background portion of the image and effecting a color specification for the cut out background portion of the image and further has a function of specifying a method of processing the background portion (Figs. 11, 12; col. 7, lines

17-57; col. 17, line 17 – col. 19, line 22), and when printing the image, the output unit (Fig.3) recognizes the background portion on the basis of the color specification and carries out background processing for the recognized background portion on the basis of the specified method of processing a background pattern (col. 1, lines 7-15; l. 8, lines 34-55; col. 17, line 17 – col. 19, line 22; col. 20, lines 13-32).

Page 3

Shimazaki does not directly teach that the background pattern substantially lacking perceptible unevenness, to avoid visual unevenness from accruing in the background portion. However, Shimazaki teaches that the background portion of the chart is replaced by a checkered pattern wherein unevenness of the color density at each portion of the chart is reduced and the thermal print head can be made substantially uniform within the printed image screen (col. 17, lines 32-48). Shimazaki further teaches that unevenness can be prevented by printing a checkered pattern (col. 17, lines 57-61) since "in the case of a checkered pattern, because two colors are combined, optical illusion which is caused by unevenness on the image screen, and by the use of a single color can be prevented as much as possible." (col. 18, lines 2-5). In addition, Ikeshoji et al discloses an image processing method and apparatus for automatically executing operation steps of repairing a defect of an image of a document which is read by a scanner and changing a background image (Abstract). Ikeshoji et al teaches the correction of the defect in the background of a natural image such a photograph or a picture or a document of characters and/or figures drawn on paper such as spots of stains (40) or stained portion (50) to avoid visual unevenness from accruing in the background portion (Figs. 4-7; col. 4, line 20 - col. 5, line 50). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching in Ikeshoji to the correction of the background in Shimazaki in case the

background substantially lacks perceptible unevenness since that can prevent the same "visible unevenness of printing from occurring in the background portion of the image" as stated in the specification (page 1, lines 27-28, page 11, lines 14-15) and avoid visual unevenness from accruing in the background portion.

Concerning claims 2, 9, 10, Shimazaki further teaches that the output unit converts pixels into the background pattern and replaces the background portion with a printed discontinuous pattern on the basis of the specified method of processing the background portion (Figs. 7, 11-12; col. 17, line 28 – col. 18, line 29).

Concerning claims 4, 8, Shimazaki further teaches that the color specification specifies a uniform density of a specific color (col. 3, lines 35-48; col. 17, line 62 – col. 18, line 5).

Concerning claim 7, Shimazaki teaches that the image processing method (Fig.2) including the steps of replacing a background portion of an image with a specified background design (e.g., checkered design), (36, 38); specifying a method of processing the background portion (for second paper reference chart, Fig.7), (30); transmitting information indicating the specified method of processing and the image with the background portion replaced by the background design (40). Shimazaki further teaches at the output unit (Fig.3) the steps of receiving image data and information indicating a received method of processing the background portion (45); recognizing a background portion by detecting the specified background design the received image data (58); processing for the recognized background portion based on the received method of processing to replace the background indicator design of the background portion with a background pattern (64, 60), (Figs.7, 13; col. 1, lines 7-15; col. 8, lines 34-55; col. 17, line 17 – col. 19, line 22; col. 20, lines 13-32).

Shimazaki does not directly teach that the background pattern substantially lacking perceptible unevenness. The same remark is repeated as in claim 1 above.

3. Claims 3, 11, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimazaki in view of Ikeshoji as applied to claims 1, 7, 12-13 above, and further in view of Fujima (US Patent No. 5,142,355).

Concerning claims 3, 11, 14, Shimazaki fails to teach that the discontinuous pattern is chosen from a group consisting of a stripe pattern and a dot pattern. Fujima discloses an edit control system for use in an image processing apparatus (Fig. 1) wherein background portion of an image can be replaced or paint in a discontinuous patterns such as stripe pattern or dot pattern (Figs. 4, 7, 9). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of different groups of patterns for replacing the background of the image in Fujima to the replaced background patterns in Shimazaki since Shimazaki teaches that besides the checkered pattern, different patterns, symbol, repeated word or phrase in any language or alphabet can be used as the pattern or what is known as a fractal diagram which resembles itself above a particular size may be used (col. 18, lines 26-29).

4. Claims 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimazaki in view of Ikeshoji et al as applied to claims 1, and 7 above, and further in view of Yang et al (US Patent No. 5,933,380).

Concerning claims 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, 25, Shimazaki in view of Ikeshoji fails to directly teach that the input image comprises a photograph image of a person, the replacement of a

background is for identification photograph use, the recognition of the boundary between the image and the background portion of the image data define the background portion for cutting out to define the background portion for replacing. Yang et al discloses a method and apparatus for replacing the background of an image for identification and other purposes without the requirement of a photo booth. Yang specifically teaches, "When photographing for identification purposes, the subject of the image is generally a person." (col. 3, lines 61-62). Yang further teaches the line of demarcation separating the foreground and background regions of the image in order to replace the background with different scene (col. 3, line 61 – col. 4, line 41; col. 9, lines 55 – col. 10, line 62). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Yang to Shimazaki in view of Ikeshoji since they do not limit the background replacement is for identification photograph purpose and the subject of the image is a person.

5. Claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimazaki as applied to claims 5, 6, 12, 13 above, and further in view of Yang et al (US Patent No. 5,933,380).

Concerning claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 24 and 26, Shimazaki in view of Ikeshoji fails to directly teach that the input image comprises a photograph image of a person, the replacement of a background is for identification photograph use, the recognition of the boundary between the image and the background portion of the image data define the background portion for cutting out to define the background portion for replacing. Yang et al discloses a method and apparatus for replacing the background of an image for identification and other purposes without the

requirement of a photo booth. Yang specifically teaches, "When photographing for identification purposes, the subject of the image is generally a person." (col. 3, lines 61-62). Yang further teaches the line of demarcation separating the foreground and background regions of the image in order to replace the background with different scene (col. 3, line 61 – col. 4, line 41; col. 9, lines 55 – col. 10, line 62). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Yang to Shimazaki in view of Ikeshoji since they do not limit the background replacement is for identification photograph purpose and the subject of the image is a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/648,590

Art Unit: 2625

7. Claims 5, 6, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimazaki (US Patent No. 6,204,873).

Page 8

Concerning claims 5, 12, Shimazaki teaches an image processing system (Fig. 1) comprises an image processing unit (10, Fig.1; Fig.2) and an output unit (12, Fig.1; Fig.3). Shimazaki further teaches that the image processing unit (Fig.2) includes means (36, 38) for replacing a background portion of an image with a specified background design (e.g., checkered design in Fig. 12); means (30) for specifying a method of processing the background portion (for second paper reference chart, Fig.7); means (40) for transmitting information indicating the specified method of processing and the image with the background portion replaced by the specified background design. Shimazaki further teaches that the output unit (Fig.3) includes means (45) for receiving image data and information indicating a received method of processing the background portion; means (58) for recognizing a background portion by detecting the specified background design the received image data; means (64, 60) for carrying out background processing for the recognized background portion based on the received method of processing, generating processed image data replacing the specified background design with a background pattern; and means (62) for printing out the processed image data (col. 1, lines 7-15; col. 8, lines 34-55; col. 17, line 17 – col. 19, line 22; col. 20, lines 13-32).

Concerning claim 6, Shimazaki further teaches that the background design includes a color specification (col.17, line 62 – col. 18, line 12; col. 20, lines 13-32).

Concerning claim 13, Shimazaki further teaches that the output unit converts pixels into the background pattern and replaces the background portion with a printed discontinuous pattern

Application/Control Number: 09/648,590 Page 9

Art Unit: 2625

on the basis of the specified method of processing the background portion (col. 17, line 17 – col. 18, line 29).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Monjo (US Patent No. 6,490,006) discloses a system for discriminating between a subject and a background of the subject for changing the background of an image.
 - b. Korn (US Patent No. 5,781,198) discloses an image processing system for removing and replacing the background portion of an image with a replacement background image.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to Madeleine AV Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday 12:30-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,590 Page 10

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrich Nguyen
August 21, 2006

Madeleine AV Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2625